March 28, 2002 3:09 PM

TO:

Powell Moore

CC:

Gen. Myers

Larry Di Rita

FROM:

Donald Rumsfeld M

SUBJECT:

Contracting Force Protection

I want to put a full court press on this issue of being able to contract out force protection in the U.S., and probably elsewhere.

Powell, please work up a plan.

Thanks.

Attach.

03/26/02 GC info memo to SecDef re: Law on Force Protection

DHR:dh 032802-12

Please respond by 04/12/02

U12231

March 21, 2002 12:07 PM

TO:

Jim Haynes

CC:

Larry Di Rita

FROM:

Donald Rumsfeld NA

SUBJECT: Law on Force Protection

I would like to get the law changed on the requirement that only the military can provide force protection and contractors cannot be used. That is crazy.

Let's get it fixed. Please tell me what I have to do.

Thanks.

DHR:dh 032102-17

Jet 43/27

Haynes response

We are going to

Work the legis labour

Syam this in our legislature

regist the got some

Things dene in last years

bill that everyone south had

been tried unsuccessfully several

three and the provision is

in the same category. We

11-L-0559/OSD/M0406 to organize for it and Please respond by 04/19152



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

1600 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-1600



MAR 2 8 2002

INFO MEMO

March 26, 2002, 8:05AM

FOR:

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM:

William J. Haynes II, General Counsel William J. 124/0-

SUBJECT: Law on Force Protection

- You asked what is needed to change the current law (10 U.S.C. § 2465), which generally prohibits contracting out security guard services.
- The President's supplemental appropriations request, which has been submitted to Congress, includes proposed legislation that would allow the Department to contract out security guard functions (Patr A):

COORDINATION: None

Attachment:

As stated

Prepared by: Helen Sullivan