

Multi-Page™ CHIQUITA BRANDS INTERNATIONAL

(b)(7)(C)

A No, not that I can recall. They seemed to be interested in determining more facts. And this was a serious meeting. This was not a -- this was very serious conversation. No conclusions had been drawn whether it was or was not an FCPA issue, but it was a potential and it was treated with what I believed was the proper interest and importance. But we were at that point, lacking a lot of facts.

Q Well, what was the next thing that happened with respect to this FCPA issue?

(b)(7)(C) May I, just before we depart this.

As long as we're all the way down to (b)(7)(C) and the consulting (b)(7)(C) is there anybody else consulted during the course of this what we'll call the 4:00

THE WITNESS: Yes. We had a conversation -- and, again, this is again very confidential information that I'm about to share with you. I said we made payments to the guerrillas. We did. The company did, I didn't. The company did.

There was also an organization called Convivir, and Convivir was not a guerrilla organization, it was an anti-guerrilla organization. The company had made payments to Convivir with the idea that Convivir was an organization, not a government entity, but an organization that tried to search

DIVERSIFIED REPORTING SERVICES, INC.
(202) 296-2929

guerrilla payments were legal during this meeting?

A I asked the questions -- a series of questions that I asked. One of them was it is our understanding in Cincinnati that payments to the guerrillas are legal. And it's our understanding that there is a ruling by the Supreme Court in Colombia, and there is an outside attorney's opinion, that these are legal.

Q Legal under local law, and legal under FCPA?

A Yes.

Q Was that issue discussed at the meeting?

A Yes, and the answer was, yes, they are legal.

Q Who raised that issue?

A I raised the issue.

Q And who provided the answer?

A I don't recall exactly, I believe it was (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(C)

Q Who raised the issue with regard to the payments to Convivir?

A I raised the issue to validate, number one, that the payments were diminishing for the guerrillas, and they were increasing to Convivir. Number one, to establish was that what the division's -- the subsidiary's plan was. The answer was yes.

Secondly, to revalidate that Convivir was not a government agency or entity, thereby is not reportable to (b)(7)(C) DIVERSIFIED REPORTING SERVICES, INC. (202) 296-2929

not where the guerrillas were. They would inform the military so that the military could then go after the guerrillas.

The company gave money to Convivir. The lawyer, (b)(7)(C) his meeting about the legality of Convivir. Was it a government entity, and the answer was, no, it was not. So, therefore, it's not reportable transaction as it relates to payments to a government organization.

BY (b)(7)(C)

Q How was (b)(7)(C) consulted, was it over the phone? Was he called into the office?

A It seems as though -- and, again, we had a number of subjects. Now, I believe once he was called on the phone, and once (b)(7)(C) left the room and came back.

Q With him?

A And I don't know whether it was during the -- are the guerrilla payments legal in Colombia, which the attorney established they were. Whether it was the Convivir legality question, or whether it had to do with this particular transaction being transacted. I just don't know. There was conversation between (b)(7)(C) I believe, on two occasions.

BY (b)(7)(C)

Q Who raised the issue -- did you discuss whether the DIVERSIFIED REPORTING SERVICES, INC. (202) 296-2929

(b)(7)(C) the quarterly report.

Q Who made the representation that Convivir was not a government agency?

A My recollection was (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(C) is not a government entity. He gave that to (b)(7)(C) and he told me.

Q Did anyone follow-up on that to ensure that Convivir does not have government employees?

A I can't answer that question. I don't know.

Q At the end of this meeting, did you understand that you had any continuing responsibility with regard to the issue of this problematic -- now problematic payment?

A Yes.

Q Okay. What was your understanding of your continuing responsibility?

A My understanding was that I needed to go back and inform (b)(7)(C) that this was reported to me as a potential FCPA transaction. (b)(7)(C) was contacted, he was (b)(7)(C) could be following-up on this, but (b)(7)(C) and I always reported those kinds of things to him. As a matter of practice.

BY (b)(7)(C)

Q Did you call him?

A No, I waited until -- I got on the plane the next DIVERSIFIED REPORTING SERVICES, INC. (202) 296-2929



National Security Archive,
Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University,
2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037,
Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu