PAGE 82 NEW DE 83821 21 OF 82 1918452

TO PROGRAM IS AMOTHER QUESTION. OF COURSE BREAVING OFF COURSE BREAVING OFF COURSE PLONATIC RELATIONS, EXPELLING AID GFFICIALS, REPUSING OFF COURSE PROJECT AGREE—
WENTS OF ASSISTANCE OR FAILING TO NEGOTIATE PROJECT AGREE—
MENTS VOULD FRUSTRATE BILATERAL AID. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE
MENTS VOULD FRUSTRATE BILATERAL AID. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE
MECHEVER THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA HAS TAKEN OUTH EXTREME
ACTIONS OR THAT IT HAS BEEN UNCOCPERATIVE IN RESENT.

TO THE CONTRARY THE GOI MADE MOAN ITS DESIRE TO SECRIVE
AMERICAN AID ONLY LAST FALL. UGTRITHSTANDING THE MORK, OF
POLITICAL CLIMATE, OTHER USS PROGRAMS SURVIVE, THE WORK, OF
THE JOINT COMMISSION PROCEEDS WITHOUT INTERRUPTION. (EVEN OF A MESTICAL CLIMATE, OTHER USS PROGRAMS FOR TOTAL OF A SECRIFICAL TO SECRIFICATE TO SECRIFICATE AT THE MOLITICAL TO SECRIFICATE TO SECRIFICATE OF FINANCE IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF A SEFFCRE.

A RESIDENT USAID STAFF MAINTAINS SATISFACTOR OF THE WITH ALL
OF A GIZEABLE PORTFOLIO OF SECIOUAL PROJECTS. YET WITH ALL
OF A GIZEABLE PORTFOLIO OF SECIOUAL PROJECTS. YET WITH ALL
OF A GIZEABLE PORTFOLIO OF SECIOUAL PROJECTS. YET WITH ALL
THIS PUBLICES AS USUAL, THE DEPARTMENT PRESS SPOKESMAN
DESCRISED THE SATE OFFICE AS IMAPPROPRIATE FOR DISCUSSION OF A SIZEABLE PORTFOLIO OF SECIOUAL PROGRAMS CARRY ON A BEFORE.

HENDY TO THE SATE OFFICE AND LARGER PROGRAMS CARRY ON A BEFORE.

OF THE MORNEY OF FINANCE IN THE ADMINISTRATION AND MCONSTITUTY
OF THE MORNEY OF FINANCE IN THE PROGRAMS CARRY ON A BEFORE.

LET US SE HONEST MITH OURSELVES ON THE FACTS! WE HAVE CHOSEN CRISHTLY OR MRONSLY) TO DEMONSTRATE OUR POLITICAL DIFFERENCES BY SACRIFICING THE AID "LANGUE SIGNIFICANTLY, IT HAS THE AGS SACRIFICING THE AID PROGREM, ARONS ALL OUR WARTOUS DIPLOMATIC, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC CONTACTS WITH THE GOI, WHICH HAS SINGLED OUT AS THE PRIME INSTRUMENT OF ESTALIATION. I SELIEVE THAT DECISION WAS MADONG BECAUSE IT FAILED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT PARAMOUNT U.S. FOREIGN POLICY INTERESTS IN

BILATERAL DEVELOPMENT AID.

8. U.S. FOREIGN POLICY INTERESTS IN DEVELOPMENT AID REASONABLE MINOS MAY DIFFER AR TO WHAT FOREIGN POLICY

OBJECTIVES SHOULD BE RERVED BY DEVELOPMENT AID. BUT CONGRESS HAS ALREADY SPOKEN TO THE POINT. U.S. POLICY INTERESTS ARE DEPINED IN SECTION 182 OF THE FOREIGN ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1961, AS AMENDED. AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT SECTION ESTABLISHES

PAGE 23 NEW DE 23521 21 OF 22 1015452

THE IMPORTANCE

- "YO OUR NATIONAL SECURITY THAT THE UNITED STATES..."
ASSIST THE PEOPLE OF LESS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES IN THEIR.
SEFECATS TO ACQUIRE THE KNOWLEDGE AND RESOURCES ESSENTIAL
FOR DEVELOPMENT..."

WHILE CONSRESS HAS EVINCED ITS WILL TO SUSPEND ASSISTANCE TO ANY COUNTRY THAT HAS SEVERED DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES, IT HAS NOT GONE SO PAR AS TO MAKE COUNTRIES INCLIGIBLE FOR THEIR UNFRIENDLY POLITICAL STATEVENTS. EVEN THE NEW SECTION 115 PERMITS AID TO GOVERNMENTS WHICH VIOLATE HUMAN RIGHTS IF GUR ASSISTANCE WHILL DIRECTLY BENEFIT THE NEEDY PEOPLE "INDEED

NEEDY PEOPLE". INDEED
THE "NEW DIRECTIONS" EMBODIED IN THE
RECENTLY ENACTED INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EDDD ASSISTANCE
ACT REINFORCE A CENTRAL POLICY THRUST OF THE FAACT! A
COMMUNITY OF FREE, SECURE AND PROSPERING NATIONS SEST.
SUSTAINS THE FREEDOM, SECURITY AND PROSPERITY OF THE UNITED
STATES. THERE MOULD SEEM TO BE NO GUESTION THAT DEVELOPE
WENT ASSISTANCE SERVES LONGLIZHM U.S. FOREIGN POLICY INTERESTS
OF AN INTERDEPENDENT MORLO.

MENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CONFIDENTIAL



DEPARTM

REBEZB

PAGE 71 181556Z 23621

42 ACTION SP-E2

INFO E8-21 180-99 7224 %

WOULD CERTAINLY NOT INCLUDE INDIA).

972958

R 181140Z MAR 76 FM ANEMSASSY NEW DELHI . SECSTATE WASHIC 4859

I A L FINAL SECTION OF 2 NEW BELHT

DISSENT CHANNEL

C. ATD AS A MEAPON

THE DEGISION TO POSTPONE INGIAN AID TALKS AND RECENT NEWS .. PAPER REPORTS THAT CERTAIN OTHER COUNTRIES WOULD BE PENALIZED FOR THEIR U.N. VOTING RECORD SUGGEST THAT THE DEPARTMENT WAY IN FACT BE USING DEVELOPMENT AID AS A POLITICAL HEAPON, IF THIS IS SO, IT IS MOST UNFORTUNATE. IN MY VIEW, DEVELOPMENT ATO SHOULD NOT SE USED TO PUNISH POLITICAL "FORS" AND REWARD "FRIENDS" FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS! WHOUGH A USE DEFEATS W.B. POLICY INTERESTS DEFINED IN THE FACT AS AMENDED (SEE PARA 28 ABOVE). -- SUCH A USE IS CONTRARY TO THE NEW DERECTIONS HANDATE TO HELP "MERCY PERSONS" (AS OPPOSED TO GOVERNMENTS). -- SUCH A USE IS UNLIKELY TO INFLUENCE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR, EXCEPT PERHAPS IN THOSE FEW COUNTRIES WHERE OUR ASSISTANCE LEVEL IS HIGH ENOUGH TO COMMAND LEVERAGE. (THESE LATTER COUNTRIES

THERE ARE, MOREOVER, SERIOUS RISKS IN WEELDING TID AS A SWORD! FIT SUBSTITUES CYNICISH AND POLITICAL EXPEDIENCY FOR HUMANITARIAN CONCERN AND LONGITERM GOALS!

FIT PROVIDES A VISIBLE ISSUE FOR CRITICS OF THE U.S. EXPLDIT' THE JEOPARDIZES "MATURE" POLITICAL RELATIONSFIES OF THE TYPE WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO CREATE IN INDIA. FINALLY, IT IS IMPORTANT TO HOTE THE "BULKINESS" OF AID CONFIDENTIAL





DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGE

STATE TELEGRAM

OF P

DEPARTMENT

PAGE 02 NEW DE 23621 32 00 62 1215562

PROGRAMS, MHICH MAKES THEY PODRLY SUITED TO SUDTEN STARTS AND STOPS. THE LEADTIME REQUIPED FOR FISCAL YEAR DBLIGATIONS, STAFFING PATTERNS AND CLOSING OUT ACTIVITES CANNOT EASILY BE SHORTENED TO REFLECT THESE UPS AND ODENS OF A POLITICAL

RELATIONSHIP. IN SUH, WE HAVE LITTLE OR NOTHINGTO GAIN FROM USING ATO AS A POLITICAL MEAPON AND MUCH TO LOSE BY IT.

3. IN JUSTIFYING A RESUMPTION OF DEVELOPMENT ALT OF INDIA, IT IS NOT ENOUGH TO DISMISS THE POLLYICAL REASONS FOR POSTFONEH MENT. WE MEED THOUGHTFUL ANSWERS TO SUCH GUESTIONS AS "HOW MUCH AD?" AND "FOR WHAT ACTIVITIES?" MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE MEED TO KNOW THAT THE U.S. CONTRIBUTION CWHICH MIGHT OF DEP CONTRIBUTION CWHICH MIGHT OF DEP PLOYED EFFECTIVELY SLSEWHERE) MON'T SIMPLY SE ANOTHER DROP IN A LARGE BUCKET.

INDIA IS CALLING ON CONSORTIUM MEMBERS TO CONSIDE AID ON DEVELOPMENTAL CRITEPIA AND

DEVELOPMENTAL CRITERIA AND RECOGNIZING THE RECOGNIZING SALANCE OF CHILDREN SALANCE OF STATE RECOGNIZING THE PAYMENTS "GAPENTLLING" (NEW DELPT 3831). RECOGNIZING THE RELATIVE REPRESENTED OF SOLETIVES WITH AID LEGISLATION, CONSISTENCY OF FIFTH PLAN GRIECTIVES WITH AID LEGISLATION, IT MAY BE POSSIBLE FOR THE TO INDERTAKE MEANINGFUL AID ACTIVITIES WITHOUT INCOMASES IN SARMARKED FUNDING OR STAFF. ACTIVITIES WITHOUT ALLOW US TO SETAIN OUR FURTHER VORE, SUCH ACTIVITIES WOULD ALLOW US TO SETAIN OUR DONORS SEAT IN TRADITIONAL GOVERNMENT TO GOVERNMENT LOAN IN MY VIEW, THE TRADITIONAL GOVERNMENT TO GOVERNMENT LOAN ASSISTANCE PACKAGE, GIVEN THE PROSABLE LIMITED SIZE OF WHAT ASSISTANCE PACKAGE, GIVEN THE PROSABLE LIMITED SIZELORE A VARIETY MAY CHISDRITUM MEETING, HE SHOULD SESIN NOW TO EXCLORE A VARIETY OF POSSIBLE INITIATIVES FOR FY 77 (INCLUDING MULTILATERAL PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL, AND PVO GRANTS THROUGH THE HELL—PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL, AND PVO GRANTS THROUGH THE HELL—PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL, AND PVO GRANTS THROUGH THE HELL—PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL, AND PVO GRANTS THROUGH THE HELL—PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL, AND PVO GRANTS THROUGH THE HELL—PROSRAMS, THE NEW TITLE MIL INDIAN DEVELOPMENT OF VOLAG METMORY IN INDIAN. ANTH, INDIAN DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT EXACEBBATING POLITICAL FRICTIEMS.

SAXEE

CONFICENTIAL

STATE TELEGRAM

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2016-07743 Doc No. C06431399 Date: 11/13/2017

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

RELEASE IN PART B6

**B6** 

American Embassy, West Building, Chanakyapuri,
New Delhi-21, India

Telephone: 70351
Telegrams: 'USAID'

May 27, 1976

## Confidential

Mr. Reginald Bartholomew Acting Director Policy Planning Staff Department of State Washington, DC 20520

Dear Mr. Bartholomew:

Many thanks for your letter of May 7 commenting upon my dissent message ("Development Aid as a Political Weapon"). Interestingly, you suggest that timing played a major role in the decision to withdraw our aid offer. Of course, aid decisions then on the table would be bureaucratically vulnerable to political uses. I can well understand the pressures of the moment which prevailed. Nevertheless, one should expect that the art of foreign policy be more selective, rising above mere bureaucratic expediency.

While continuing respectfully to disagree with the aid to India decision, I am grateful for your candid and articulate explanation.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2016-07743 Doc No. C06431418 Date: 11/13/2017

RELEASE IN PART

**B6** 

May 7, 1976

## -CONFIDENTIAL

Regional Legal Advisor, USAID American Embassy New Delhi

Dear

. .

Thank you very much for your dissent cable of March 10, dealing with the political uses of aid. You are raising points of genuine concern and ones that have been widely considered in overall aid policy formulation as well as in the specific case of India.

I could take issue with a number of the points that you make, but I am sure that you know the arguments and counterarguments very well. I would only point out that development aid to India became—as you express it—the sacrificial lamb simply for probably unfortunate reasons of timing: aid decisions were on the table at the moment when we felt that a political signal had to be sent; at the time there was no other relevant element of the Indo-US relation—ship available to be used as the medium for a necessary message.

In regard to the broader proposition of relating aid to political criteria, you have stated your case cogently and the problems that you raise are matters which in their general form we all consider to be far from closed questions.

I would observe that we believe it legitimate, both in relation to congressional mandates and to the standards of sensible public policy, that development aid decisions as among countries which are qualified on need and developmental criteria not be immune from considerations of a political, or inter-governmental order. In the case of India, when the head of government made and repeated statements about the United States which were both unfriendly and untrue (and which by implication supported suggestions that U.S. aid workers were intelligence agents) the demands of the political order for a clear and concrete response were of great importance.

CONFIDENTIAL

## CONFIDENTIAL

. - 2 -

Your message is of course being forwarded to the Secretary. I had intended to suggest further that you submit the substance of it to Open Forum but as this letter was being prepared, Peter Lydon informed me that you had already done this. I think your piece will be a useful contribution to an important discussion, although it should not be shown as having been a dissent message as well.

Sincerely yours,

Reginald Bartholomew Acting Director Policy Planning Staff