# <sup>115TH CONGRESS</sup> 2D SESSION H.R.4747

To prohibit the Government from using or contracting with an entity that uses certain telecommunications services or equipment, and for other purposes.

### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 9, 2018

Mr. CONAWAY (for himself and Ms. CHENEY) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

## A BILL

- To prohibit the Government from using or contracting with an entity that uses certain telecommunications services or equipment, and for other purposes.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

#### **3** SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the "Defending U.S. Gov-

5 ernment Communications Act".

#### 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 7 The Congress finds the following:
- 8 (1) In its 2011 "Annual Report to Congress on
- 9 Military and Security Developments Involving the

1 People's Republic of China", the Department of De-2 fense stated that, "China's defense industry has ben-3 efited from integration with a rapidly expanding ci-4 vilian economy and science and technology sector, 5 particularly elements that have access to foreign 6 technology. Progress within individual defense sec-7 tors appears linked to the relative integration of 8 each, through China's civilian economy, into the 9 global production and R&D chain . . . Information 10 technology companies in particular, including 11 Huawei, Datang, and Zhongxing, maintain close ties 12 to the PLA.".

13 (2) In a 2011 report titled "The National Secu-14 rity Implications of Investments and Products from 15 the People's Republic of China in the Telecommuni-16 cations Sector", the United States China Commis-17 sion stated that "[n]ational security concerns have 18 accompanied the dramatic growth of China's telecom 19 sector. . . . Additionally, large Chinese companies – 20 particularly those 'national champions' prominent in 21 China's 'going out' strategy of overseas expansion – 22 are directly subject to direction by the Chinese Com-23 munist Party, to include support for PRC state poli-24 cies and goals.".

1 (3) The Commission further stated in its report 2 that "[f]rom this point of view, the clear economic 3 benefits of foreign investment in the U.S. must be 4 weighed against the potential security concerns re-5 lated to infrastructure components coming under the 6 control of foreign entities. This seems particularly 7 applicable in the telecommunications industry, as 8 Chinese companies continue systematically to ac-9 quire significant holdings in prominent global and 10 U.S. telecommunications and information technology 11 companies.".

12 (4) In its 2011 Annual Report to Congress, the 13 United States China Commission stated that "[t]he 14 extent of the state's control of the Chinese economy 15 is difficult to quantify . . . There is also a category 16 of companies that, though claiming to be private, are 17 subject to state influence. Such companies are often 18 in new markets with no established SOE leaders and 19 enjoy favorable government policies that support 20 their development while posing obstacles to foreign 21 competition. Examples include Chinese telecoms 22 giant Huawei and such automotive companies as 23 battery maker BYD and vehicle manufacturers 24 Geely and Chery.".

1	(5) General Michael Hayden, who served as Di-
2	rector of the Central Intelligence Agency and Direc-
3	tor of the National Security Agency, stated in July
4	2013 that Huawei had "shared with the Chinese
5	state intimate and extensive knowledge of foreign
6	telecommunications systems it is involved with.".
7	(6) The Federal Bureau of Investigation, in a
8	February 2015 Counterintelligence Strategy Part-
9	nership Intelligence Note stated that, "[w]ith the ex-
10	panded use of Huawei Technologies Inc. equipment
11	and services in U.S. telecommunications service pro-
12	vider networks, the Chinese Government's potential
13	access to U.S. business communications is dramati-
14	cally increasing. Chinese Government-supported tele-
15	communications equipment on U.S. networks may be
16	exploited through Chinese cyber activity, with Chi-
17	na's intelligence services operating as an advanced
18	persistent threat to U.S. networks.".
19	(7) The FBI further stated in its February
20	2015 counterintelligence note that, "China makes no
21	secret that its cyber warfare strategy is predicated
22	on controlling global communications network infra-
23	structure.".
24	(8) At a hearing before the Committee on

24 (8) At a hearing before the Committee on25 Armed Services of the House of Representatives on

September 30, 2015, Deputy Secretary of Defense
 Robert Work, responding to a question about the
 use of Huawei telecommunications equipment, stat ed, "In the Office of the Secretary of Defense, abso lutely not. And I know of no other—I don't believe
 we operate in the Pentagon, any [Huawei] systems
 in the Pentagon.".

8 (9) At such hearing, the Commander of the 9 United States Cyber Command, Admiral Mike Rog-10 ers, responding to a question about why such 11 Huawei telecommunications equipment is not used, 12 stated, "as we look at supply chain and we look at 13 potential vulnerabilities within the system, that it is 14 a risk we felt was unacceptable.".

(10) In March 2017, ZTE Corporation pled
guilty to conspiring to violate the International
Emergency Economic Powers Act by illegally shipping U.S.-origin items to Iran, paying the United
States Government a penalty of \$892,360,064 dollars for activity between January 2010 and January
2016.

(11) The Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control issued a subpoena to Huawei as
part of a Federal investigation of alleged violations

of trade restrictions on Cuba, Iran, Sudan, and
 Syria.

3 (12) In the bipartisan House Permanent Select 4 Committee on Intelligence "Investigative Report on the United States National Security Issues Posed by 5 6 Chinese Telecommunication Companies Huawei and 7 ZTE" released in 2012, it was recommended that 8 "U.S. government systems, particularly sensitive 9 systems, should not include Huawei or ZTE equip-10 ment, including in component parts. Similarly, gov-11 ernment contractors – particularly those working on 12 contracts for sensitive U.S. programs – should ex-13 clude ZTE or Huawei equipment in their systems.". 14 SEC. 3. PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS

15

#### SERVICES OR EQUIPMENT.

16 (a) PROHIBITION ON AGENCY USE OR PROCURE-MENT.—The head of an agency may not procure or obtain, 17 18 may not extend or renew a contract to procure or obtain, and may not enter into a contract (or extend or renew 19 20 a contract) with an entity that uses any equipment, sys-21 tem, or service that uses covered telecommunications 22 equipment or services as a substantial or essential compo-23 nent of any system, or as critical technology as part of 24 any system.

25 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1	(1) AGENCY.—The term "agency" has the
2	meaning given that term in section 551 of title 5,
3	United States Code.
4	(2) COVERED FOREIGN COUNTRY.—The term
5	"covered foreign country" means the People's Re-
6	public of China.
7	(3) COVERED TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP-
8	MENT OR SERVICES.—The term "covered tele-
9	communications equipment or services" means any
10	of the following:
11	(A) Telecommunications equipment pro-
12	duced by Huawei Technologies Company or
13	ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate
14	of such entities).
15	(B) Telecommunications services provided
16	by such entities or using such equipment.
17	(C) Telecommunications equipment or
18	services produced or provided by an entity that
19	the head of the relevant agency reasonably be-
20	lieves to be an entity owned or controlled by, or
21	otherwise connected to, the government of a
22	covered foreign country.



This document is from the holdings of: The National Security Archive Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University 2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037 Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu