



DEF 18-3 SWITZ(GE)
Department of State **TELEGRAM**

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01056 022235Z

85

ACTION ACDA 17

INFO EUR 25, IO 21, OIC 05, H 02, NEA 19, NSC 10, NASA 04, INR 07, P 04,

CIA 04, DOD 01, SP 02, SCI 05, SS 35, AEC 11, AF 21, GPM 03, SC 01,

SAH 03, L 03, ARA 19, EA 19, USIA 12, OST 01, RSC 01, NSAE 00, RSR 01

/256 W

GENEVA-1056
10-5 67

R 021853Z OCT 67
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5415
INFO AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS 697
AMEMBASSY LONDON 898
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA 389
AMEMBASSY PARIS 1127
AMEMBASSY ROME 588
AMEMBASSY VIENNA 219
USMISSION USUN 1258

BT

C O N F I D E N T I A L GENEVA 1056

DISTO NATUS BUSEC IAEA

SUBJECT: WESTERN FOUR MEETING OCTOBER 4

1. FISHER (US), REPORTING ON SEPT. 29 CO-CHAIRMEN'S MTG,
SAID ROSHCHIN NEGATIVE TOWARD NON-ALIGNED SUGGESTION FOR

OCT. 13 RECESS AND SAID SOVS PREFER WORK IN GENEVA UNTIL ART. 111
CONCLUDED AND NON-ALIGNED AMENDMENTS CONSIDERED. FISHER ADDED
WE EXPRESSED WILLINGNESS DO SAME AND HAVE SO RECOMMENDED
TO WASHINGTON. BURNS (CANADA) THOUGHT ENDC SHOULD GO ON UNTIL
DRAFT FINISHED; CARACCILO (ITALY) READY TO STAY "UNTIL CHRISTMAS"

1

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NND 969000

CONFIDENTIAL



Department of State

TELEGRAM

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 GENEVA 0.056 022235Z

IF WANTED. BEELEY (UK) THOUGHT FIRST CTE PROBABLY COULD HOLD OFF DISCUSSION NPT UNTIL MID-NOVEMBER BY DEALING FIRST WITH OTHER MATTERS, SUCH AS OUTER SPACE.

2. FISHER SAID ROSHCIN ANXIOUS HAVE CO-CHAIRMEN'S MTG. AFTERNOON OCT. 2 FOR PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION OF MEX AND UAR PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO NPT, AND WE THEREFORE WANTED NOW HAVE PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS WITH W-4 THOUGH WE HAD NO INSTRUCTIONS AS YET AND WOULD NOT NOW BE ABLE DISCUSS SPECIFIC FORMULATIONS EITHER WITH ALLIES OR SOVIETS. RE FIRST MEX AMENDMENT CONCERNING PEACEFUL USES, FISHER COMMENTED IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE BREAK ARTICLE INTO TWO PARAGRAPHS AND STRENGTHEN SECOND; HOWEVER, EXPRESSION OF "DUTY" TO ASSIST NOT POSSIBLE FOR US AND PROBABLY NOT FOR SOVS EITHER. BURNS AND BEELEY AGREED. CARACCILO SAID HE WAS WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS AND WOULD NOTE FISHER'S COMMENTS BUT ADDED THAT MEXICAN LANGUAGE REFLECTS SOME OF PREVIOUSLY EXPRESSED ITALIAN VIEWS.

3. RE SECOND MEX AMENDMENT CONCERNING PLOWSHARE SERVICES (MEX ART. IV-A), FISHER SAID WE DO NOT BELIEVE WE SHOULD DESCRIBE IN TREATY ARTICLE PROVISIONS TO BE INCLUDED IN SEPARATE AGREEMENT. MIGHT, HOWEVER, BE POSSIBLE CONSIDER RETAINING PRESENT PREAMBULAR ON THIS SUBJECT WITH ADDITION OF TREATY ARTICLE IN WHICH PARTIES UNDERTAKE TO COOPERATE IN NEGOTIATING SEPARATE AGREEMENT AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE. BURNS, CARACCILO AND BEELEY THOUGHT THIS WOULD BE SATISFACTORY COMPROMISE. BEELEY SAID MEX LANGUAGE UNACCEPTABLE BECAUSE UK, AS NUCLEAR-WEAPON-STATE, PROBABLY WOULD NEVER BE IN POSITION PROVIDE REQUIRED NUCLEAR DEVICES.

4. RE THIRD MEX PROPOSAL CONCERNING NUCLEAR FREE ZONES (MEX ART. IV-B), FISHER SAID OUR INITIAL IMPRESSION IS THERE WOULD BE NO HARM IN MOVING PRESENT PREAMBULAR TO OPERATIVE ARTICLE. BEELEY AND BURNS INDICATED AGREEMENT. CARACCILO SAID IDEA OF SHIFT SEEMS ACCEPTABLE BUT MAY RAISE QUESTION OF INCLUSION OF SOME OTHER CONCEPTS PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED AND THIS MIGHT NOT BE SATISFACTORY.

5. RE FOURTH MEX PROPOSAL ON NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT (MEX ART. IV-C), BEELEY SAID INCORPORATION SOME SUCH OBLIGATION IN OPERATIVE

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NND 969000



Department of State

TELEGRAM

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 GENEVA 01056 022235Z

PAGE FOUR RUFHGV 1056 C O N F I D E N T I A L
ARTICLE WILL BE MAJOR POINT AT ISSUE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH NON-
ALIGNED HERE AND IN NEW YORK. HE PERSONALLY TOLD CASTANEDA
IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER BASE PROPOSAL FOR OPERATIVE ARTICLE
ON PREAMBULAR PARAGRAPH WHICH DECLARES INTENTION CEASE NUCLEAR
ARMS RACE. FISHER SAID WE WOULD PREFER STRENGTHEN PREAMBULAR
PARAGRAPHS AS SUGGESTED BY ITALY AND ALSO ADD WORDS "OF THE
PREAMBLE" IN REVIEW PROVISION BUT THERE MAY BE PRESSURE GO
FURTHER. BURNS SAID HE PERSONALLY TOLD CASTANEDA AND WAS ALSO
CONSIDERING STATING IN PLENARY THAT ARTICLE OF TYPE MEXICANS
PROPOSED IS REALLY NO MORE THAN STATEMENT OF INTENTION ANYWAY
AND THAT IT UNIMPORTANT WHETHER THIS IN PREAMBLE OR IN ARTICLE.
BURNS THOUGHT CASTANEDA HAD GOOD POINT, HOWEVER, IN SEPARATING
COLLATERAL MEASURES FROM GCD SINCE IN US-SOV DRAFT IT WOULD SEEM
THERE NO OBLIGATION REACH AGREEMENT ON SUCH MEASURES BEFORE
GCD ATTAINED. BURNS THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BETTER IDEA TO STRENGTHEN
PREAMBLE AND PROVIDED, FOR INFORMATION OF WESTERN FOUR, FOLLOWING
INFORMAL SUGGESTION:

QTE. DECLARING THEIR INTENTION ACHIEVE AT EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE
CESSATION OF NUCLEAR ARMS RACE, AND TO THIS END TO PURSUE NEGOTIATION
S
IN GOOD FAITH WITH ALL SPEED AND PERSEVERANCE, FOR PURPOSE OF
ARRIVING AT FURTHER AGREEMENTS REGARDING PROHIBITION OF ALL NUCLEAR
WEAPON TESTS, CESSATION OF MANUFACTURE OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS,

LIQUIDATION OF ALL THEIR EXISTING STOCKPILES, ELIMINATION FROM
NATIONAL ARSENALS OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND MEANS OF THEIR
DELIVERY, AND EVENTUAL AGREEMENT ON TREATY ON GCD UNDER STRICT
AND EFFECTIVE INTERNATIONAL CONTROL.

QTE. URGING CO-OPERATION OF ALL STATES IN ATTAINMENT THIS OBJECTIVE.
END QTE.

FISHER SAID US WOULD HAVE MAJOR DIFFICULTY AGREEING TO
ELIMINATION NUCLEAR WEAPONS PRIOR GCD, AND ALSO IT WOULD NOT BE
DESIRABLE ATTEMPT INCLUDE LIST OF SPECIFIC MEASURES SINCE M-

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NND 969000



Department of State

TELEGRAM

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 GENEVA 01056 022235Z

POSSIBLE REACH AGREEMENT WITH SOVS ON ANY SPECIFIC LIST. BURNS REPLIED HIS FORMULATION COULD BE MODIFIED. FISHER SAID THAT HE WOULD EXPRESS DESIRE FOR STRENGTHENED PREAMBLE AND ALSO MAINTAIN FLEXIBLE POSITION WITH ROSHCIN ON TERMS FOR ANY ADDITIONAL FORMULATIONS, WHETHER IN PREAMBLE OR TREATY.

6. TURNING TO UAR AMENDMENTS TO ARTS. I AND II, BEELEY SAID UK HAD ALWAYS ASSUMED THESE ARTICLES WERE SACROSANCT AND WONDERED WHETHER KHALLAF'S SPEECH BASED ON CONSULTATIONS WITH ROSHCIN. FISHER SAID WE WOULD KNOW BETTER AFTER OCT. 2 CO-CHAIRMEN'S MEETING BUT WE FELT IT WOULD BE MISTAKE OPEN RTS. I AND II FOR RE-NEGOTIATION. CARACCILO SAID ITALIANS HAD MADE NO SPECIFIC

COMMENTS YET ON ARTS. I AND II BUT THAT IF DISCUSSION OF THESE OPENED THEY WOULD ALSO MAKE SUGGESTIONS. FISHER OBSERVED UAR'S ART. I AMENDMENT MIGHT BE DIRECTED AT OUR PRESENT NATO ARRANGEMENTS. BURNS AGREED THAT RECONSIDERATION OF ARTS. I AND II ALONG LINES SUGGESTED BY KHALLAF WOULD BE RETROGRADE STEP.

7. RE UAR SUGGESTION ON SECURITY GUARANTEES, BEELEY WONDERED WHETHER THIS MIGHT ALSO HAVE BEEN COORDINATED WITH SOVS AND WITH THEIR PROPOSED BAN-THE BOMB CONVENTION AT UN. SOVS MIGHT HOPE PRESSURE IN NEW YORK WOULD PERSUADE US ACCEPT SOME FORMULATION IN NPT. FISHER SAID WE WOULD REITERATE TO SOVS THAT KOSYGIN PROPOSAL UNACCEPTABLE. BURNS SAID NON-USE PROPOSAL KEEPS COMING UP AND RESOLUTION 2153 SAYS IT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED. WHILE UAR FORMULATION UNACCEPTABLE, BURNS THOUGHT BEST WAY TO HEAD OFF ITS PROBLEMS AND THOSE OF BAN-THE-BOM CONVENTION IS TO ACCEPT FORMULATION PROVIDING FOR NON-USE AGAINST NATIONS NOT ALLIED WITH A NUCLEAR POWER.

8. AT END OF MEETING, CARACCILO INFORMALLY RAISED QUESTION AS TO WHETHER, IN SOV SAFEGUARDS ART., FIRST SENTENCE OF PARA 1 WAS CONNECTED WITH FIRST SENTENCE OF PARA 4. FISHER AFFIRMED THAT TWO WERE CONNECTED AND THAT FIRST SENTENCE PARA 4 DID PROVIDE OPTION FOR ALL PARTIES NPT TO NEGOTIATE REQUIRED AGREEMENTS EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR TOGETHER WITH OTHER STATES. GP-4. TUBBY

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND 969000

CONFIDENTIAL

**NATIONAL
SECURITY
ARCHIVE**

This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University

2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu