

DECLASSIFIED  
Authority NND 969000

DEF 18-6  
121588

# OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE:  COLLECT  
 CHARGE TO

CONFIDENTIAL  
Classification

A

28 FEB 68 02 26Z

FOR OCIT USE ONLY

18588

Origin ACTION: US Mission GENEVA PRIORITY

Info: INFO: US Mission NATO PRIORITY  
USUN NEW YORK  
Amembassy MOSCOW  
Amembassy LONDON  
Amembassy TOKYO  
Amembassy ROME  
Amembassy OTTAWA  
Amembassy BONN  
Amembassy VIENNA

STATE

DISTO/NATUS/IAEA

SUBJECT: Wrap Up on NPT Amendments

On assumption Soviets will accept amendments we have suggested to them, you should inform Soviet Co-Chairman that US wishes to present final NPT text to ENDC on February 29, to enable conference to proceed with consideration of its report to UNGA. We assume Soviets may insist on following previous practice of presenting separate but identical drafts. However, we believe that now would be an appropriate time to make joint drafts a single one. Nevertheless we will continue to defer to Soviet wishes on this point which we do not consider of substantive importance to US.

MICROFILMED BY 234

10

Drafted by: ACDA/IR:CGleysteen:aow	Tel. Ex. 2762	Telegraphic transmission and classification approved by: The Secretary
Clearances: G/PM - Mr. R. E.ley L-Mr. Meeker (Drft) ay EUR-Mr. Ledy Springsteen IO-Mr. Sisco (Dft) ay ACDA/GC-Mr. Bunn xxRKR		DOD/ISA-Dr. Halperin (Sub.) ACDA-Mr. Alexander ASA EA/J-Mr. Sneider ay
CONFIDENTIAL S/S - GKell		

CONFIDENTIAL  
Classification

\_\_\_\_\_ or telegram to \_\_\_\_\_  
GENEVA

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

You should say that we have carefully weighed various suggestions of our allies, as well as of non-aligned delegations at ENDC, regarding Jan 18 texts. We have concluded that NPT would be most broadly acceptable if it included periodic review clause pursuant to language specified in STATE 11605. We believe that this provision should include phrase "purposes of the preamble" as suggested by UK and Italian Delegations. This addition is important to answer critics of previous NPT drafts that they did not reflect sufficient "balance of obligations".

You should reiterate importance of Soviet agreement to incorporate Feb 8 Swedish proposals on CTB preambular reference (with minor change) and on Article VI.

You should say that we do not rule out minor language changes in course of GA discussion of NPT. However, we believe that in drafting treaty broad spectrum of views of international community has effectively been taken into account and that treaty will prove to reflect consensus of that community. We envisage no further substantive changes in text.

We suppose that Soviets will still wish to keep depositary states blank, filling this in shortly prior to signature. You can agree to this or alternatively agree to fill in US, UK and USSR at this stage.

You should press Soviet Co-Chairman again on achieving as much

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

identity of language as possible in declarations on security assurances and on reaching agreement on language for resolution contained in your prior instructions. We recognize that most of discussion of security assurances necessarily will have to take place in New York in context of resumed UNGA.

You should say that we do not consider it essential that security assurances package be presented to ENDC on Feb 29. But in our judgment presenting this package together with completed NPT text would serve our joint interests since ENDC has been led to expect that Co-Chairmen agreement on security assurances would be forthcoming. If Roshchin does not have authority to agree on security assurances package you should ask his agreement to state in ENDC on Feb 29 that Co-Chairmen will present their agreed solution to this problem before or during resumed UNGA session.

We believe there is merit to informal suggestion by Soviet Deloff (Geneva 2676) of using exact language of declarations in describing them (at least on key points) when resolution presented to ENDC. However, declarations should not be quoted in entirety since they are not negotiable with non-nuclear states and we would not wish to make public our declaration in final form until it is formally issued.

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

FOR US NATO. You should inform NAC on Feb 28 of above. You should tell NAC that you will circulate final version of security assurances resolution and declarations after ~~the~~ Soviet agreement is obtained and that declarations should be held in confidence. At your discretion and after coordination with your UK colleague, NAC might be informed of UK participation in tripartite talks on security assurances in Geneva. You should say that security assurances package is best result we were able to achieve with Soviets in meeting desire of some to include provision dealing with nuclear blackmail. We were unable to include preambular or operative provision in NPT text because of Soviet commitment to Kosygin formula which would exclude many of our allies from benefit of non-use provision. You should say that while we recognize that allied governments may not be ready to commit themselves publicly to completed NPT draft we hope that as many of them as possible will support it in public prior to resumed UNGA session. You should note that draft represents end result of fruitful allied consultations and is best that we were able to achieve in Co-Chairmen negotiations.

FOR TOKYO. You should make similar approach to FonOff as made to NAC. You should stress to Japanese that periodic review provision, which was originally Japanese suggestion and in which Japanese have continued to

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

Page 5 of telegram to GENEVA

CONFIDENTIAL  
*Classification*

show particular interest, included in package we seeking Soviet agreement to present to ENDC.

GP-3

RUSK

END

**NATIONAL  
SECURITY  
ARCHIVE**

This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University

2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, [nsarchiv@gwu.edu](mailto:nsarchiv@gwu.edu)