

INCOMING AIRGRAM

Department of State

ACTION COPY

~~FORM 10-3 FOR OFFICIAL RESTRICTED DATA~~

Handle as Restricted Data in Foreign Dissemination
Subsection 144b, Atomic Energy Act of 1954

38-M

Action

EUR

Info

SS

G

SP

C

L

H

SAE

MC

AEC

RMR

Classification

FROM: American Embassy LONDON

TO: Secretary of State

NO: G-150
RPT INFO: American Paris G-23

" Bonn G-14
" Bagne G-4

Date Sent:
Rec'd:

12 August 1960
10:20 AM

SAC 14 AUG 14 1960

Ambassador Lisbon G-1

" Ottawa G-2

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 13526, Sec. 3.3
NW 27447

By DM/LM, NARA, Date 3/14/14

LIMIT DISTRIBUTION

Department pass Defense and USIO SACLAIR INFOFOR for Walker

Paris pass USRO, Thurston, Finn and CHICHESTER (for RMR)

Embell 672; Note Atomic Stockpile Agreement

Embassy met August 9 with representatives Foreign Office (Pridham, Western Department, and Bunting, Permanent Undersecretary's Department) and Defence Ministry (Gibson) to discuss draft atomic stockpile agreement transmitted Department's CA-8800. Gibson did most of talking for UK, Penoff apparently not having developed position of its own. Upshot of meeting was that UK, which had not previously appreciated reasons why US desired Umbrella type agreement, agreed to reconsider matter in light arguments advanced by Emboff. Deptel 670 badly garbled and available only in fragmentary form at time of meeting.

Gibson said Defense Ministry had two main objections to US proposal. First, they thought Umbrella agreement was superfluous, as all its main features included in Coperable agreement and in new draft agreement worked out by War Office and USAEUR. While UK could see merit in concluding Umbrella type agreement with country with which we had no previous agreement on atomic support, in case of UK such an agreement would have effect of adding to rather than reducing number of support agreements. Secondly, and apparently most important in UK eyes, Umbrella agreement would tend to "tie our hands" in future. This was particularly true as regarded support for Royal Navy, where arrangements for atomic support had not been fully worked out. If it should develop that arrangements for Navy did not fit in with Umbrella agreement, it would then be necessary to amend latter. As illustration, Gibson mentioned possibility that UK aircraft carriers might wish pick up atomic weapons in US ports rather than receiving them at "points of entry" referred to in US draft. He acknowledged of course that points of this kind could be handled through reworking but feared that we could not at this stage anticipate everything. For these reasons UK preferred to conclude USAEUR - War Office agreement at government

~~FORM 10-3 FOR OFFICIAL RESTRICTED DATA~~
Handle as Restricted Data in Foreign Dissemination
Subsection 144b, Atomic Energy Act of 1954

Copy No(s)
Destroyed in RMR
Date
Name

611-417/8-1260

HRS

CLASSIFIED FILE

PERMANENT

RECORD COPY • This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with notation of action taken.
~~SECRET~~
Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS
PROHIBITED UNLESS ACTION TAKEN.

Doc ID 617550

~~SECRET~~

level and, as requirements for other services became clear, to conclude similar agreements for them.

Burden of Emboff's reply was that it was precisely for reasons of flexibility that US preferred Umbrella type agreement. It should be possible to draft Umbrella agreement in sufficiently broad terms to cover all likely eventualities. Thereafter, detailed agreements for particular types of support could be worked out between services concerned. Emboff put considerable weight, and perhaps embellished a bit, on complications of US procedures involved in reaching government-level agreements. Substantial part of these procedures, he said, could be dispensed with in arriving at service-to-service agreements, provided latter were in implementation of existing government-level agreement. Furthermore, by concluding new USAIDUR - War Office agreement at government level, we would in fact be tying our hands much more thoroughly than would be case with Umbrella agreement. USAIDUR - War Office draft agreement was very detailed and hence much more likely require future revision which would involve complicated internal US procedures previously referred to, if it was government agreement. Finally, Emboff said on personal basis that if UK should insist and US agree that USAIDUR - War Office agreement be concluded at government level, Embassy and Foreign Office might well then find themselves in position of having to renegotiate, or at least redraft, this lengthy document.

UK representatives accepted the above arguments surprisingly readily, and Gibbons agreed to have matter reconsidered by British services and Defense Ministry. He hoped, however, that US could agree that Umbrella agreement should cover only essential points and be as general as possible, so as to minimize possibility that eventual service-to-service arrangements would be in conflict with it. Emboff said he felt sure US shared this objective and, if there were specific points where UK thought draft could be improved in this sense, he would be glad to submit them for consideration.

Only other point discussed was whether Umbrella agreement would be registered with United Nations. Emboff said he presumed it would not be as it was to remain classified. UK representatives indicated they strongly preferred to keep document classified but queried whether US draft was not in form which would require registration. Appreciate Department comment this point.

Embassy will do what it can to expedite British reconsideration of our proposal. Point was emphasized in August 9 discussion that action urgent to avoid delays in providing atomic support for UK Honest John units. Meanwhile Embassy suggests Department and Defense review draft agreement with view determining whether some amendments may be required to take care of SACIAT requirements (Deptel 894).

WHITNEY

POL/AM/WTrusheart:pm

CON: MIN - Mr. Morris (in draft)

~~SECRET~~



This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University
2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu