PAGE Ø1 ORIGIN L-Ø3 STATE Ø5Ø654

35.865.4

INFO OCT-81 EUR-12 ISO-88 <u>DLOS-89</u> DDDE-88 ACDA-12 PM-85 SS-15 CIAE-88 INR-18 NSAE-88 INRE-88 SP-82 NSCE-88 7869 R

DRAFTED BY DOD: WSOLF L/PM: MMATHESON APPROVED BY S/AR: GALDRICH JCS: CBOWDEN ACDA: HMAZEAU EUR: LBRECKON PM/DCA: DGARLSON

---- 056484 2800447 /66

O 280025Z FEB 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USHISSION USNATO IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 050654

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: NATO, PARM, UK, FR

SUBJECT: HUMANITARIAN LAW IN ARMED CONFLICT PROTOCOLS TO

REFS: (A) USNATO 1979; (B) USNATO 1729; (C) USNATO 2738 (D) STATE 291833

- 1. APPRECIATE YOUR REPORT OF FEBRUARY 23 POLADS DISCUSSION. WE WILL, OF COURSE, BE PREPARED TO CONSIDER AND COMMENT ON ANY PROPOSALS FOR ORGANIZATION AND MANDATE OF WORKING GROUPS WHICH UK, FRG OR OTHERS MAY PRESENT AT FEBRUARY 28 POLADS OR SUBSEQUENTLY. YOU SHOULD SUGGEST THAT ALL SUCH PROPOSALS SHOULD BE STUDIED CAREFULLY IN CAPITALS BEFORE FURTHER ACTION IS TAKEN. YOU MAY ALSO DRAW UPON FOLLOWING POINTS IF APPROPRIATE.
- 2. IN GENERAL, WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT NATO CONSIDERATION OF UK AND FRG CONCERNS ABOUT PROTOCOL I REQUIRES ELABORATE SPECIAL MACHINERY IN BRUSSELS. RELEVANT ARTICLES OF PROTOCOL I ARE LARGELY CODIFICATION OF EXISTING CUSTOMARY LAW. AND WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT SUBSTANTIVE PROBLEMS RAISED BY PROTOCOL ARE NEARLY AS SERIOUS AS FRG ASSERTS. (FYI: FRG MINISTRY OF DEFENSE HAS NEVER SUPPORTED PRO-VISION OF PROTOCOL I ON MEANS AND METHODS OF WARFARE AND IS PROBABLY PROPOSING ELABORATE NATO INTERPRETIVE EXERCISE, AT LEAST IN PART, TO INHIBIT ITS ENTRY INTO FORCE. END FYI). THEREFORE, WE ARE INCLINED TO THINK THAT ANALOGY TO MBFR MACHINERY IS INAPPROPRIATE, GIVEN RELATIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF MBFR ISSUES AND MUCH GREATER . NATO HO EXPERTISE AND SUBSTANTIVE CONCERN, AND THAT CREA-TION OF NATO GROUP ALONG MBFR LINES WOULD BE EXCESSIVE FOR. THIS PURPOSE. HOWEVER, WE AGREE THAT MILITARY COMMITTEE SHOULD PLAY AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN ANY CONSIDERATION OF FRG PROPOSALS TO FORMULATE DETAILED GUIDANCE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF COMBAT RULES.
- 3. WITH RESPECT TO UK PROPOSAL FOR COORDINATION OF SUBSTANCE AND TIMING OF RATIFICATION, DEPARTHENT CONCURS IN
 PROPOSAL THAT EACH ALLY SHOULD CONSULT THROUGH THE POLITICAL COMMITTEE WHEN IT IS READY TO SUBMIT THE PROTOCOLS TO
 ITS PARLIAMENT FOR RATIFICATION. WE WOULD PREFER TO DEFER
 ESTABLISHING ANY WORKING GROUP (INCLUDING LEGAL GROUP) OR
 HOLDING FURTHER EXPERTS MEETINGS ON THIS SUBJECT UNTIL
 JUNE, WHEN WE WILL START MAKING OUR OWN PREPARATIONS FOR
 THE SUBMISSION OF THE PROTOCOLS TO THE SENATE. (FYI: THE
 NEED FOR IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION WITH RESPECT TO THE
 PENAL PROVISIONS AND POSSIBLY OTHER MATTERS MAKES IT UNLIKELY THAT US RATIFICATION CAN BE EXPECTED IN LESS THAN
 3 YEARS. END FYI.)

.4. WE PREFER TO WITHHOLD JUDGMENT ON FRG PROPOSALS FOR DETAILED CONVENTIONAL COMBAT RULES UNTIL WE HAVE STUDIED THE DRAFTS THEY PLAN TO SUBMIT IN HID-MARCH.

STATE 050654

- 5. DEFENSE ADVISES THAT THE LAW OF WAR MANUALS WILL IN-CLUDE EXPLANATIONS OF THE PRINCIPLES AND RULES OF THE PROTOCOLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH US UNDERSTANDINGS DEVELOPED AT THE DIPLOMATIC CONFERENCE. THESE WILL BE IN CONSON-ANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE MILITARY COMMITTEE STUDY AND WILL INCLUDE SUFFICIENT PRACTICAL EXAMPLES TO EACILITATE UNDERSTANDINGS. THEY WILL NOT, HOWEVER, PRO-VIDE DETAILED RULES FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF EACH WEAPON SYSTEM OR FOR ALL TACTICAL SITUATIONS. THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING DOCTRINAL LITERATURE ON EMPLOYMENT OF DIF-FERENT CONVENTIONAL WEAPON SYSTEMS AND THE TACTICS OF THE DIFFERENT SERVICES WOULD PRECLUDE ANY EARLY CONCLUSION OF THE PROPOSED FRG STUDY. IT MAY, HOWEVER, BE FEASIBLE TO MEET SOME FRG REQUIREMENTS THROUGH DEVELOPMENT OF ACE OR AFCENT RULES OF ENGAGEMENT OR STANDING OPERATING PRO-CEDURES (SEE NATO DEFINITIONS) FOR CERTAIN MILITARY OPER-ATIONS IN PARTICULAR COUNTRIES. SUCH-A DEVICE APPEARS TO BE SUITABLE FOR DEVELOPING GUIDANCE ON PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES FOR THE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS AND FRIENDLY MILITARY PERSONNEL IN THE USE OF LAND MINES.
- 6. WITH RESPECT TO UNDERSTANDINGS ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS, YOU MAY DRAW UPON GUIDANCE IN REFTEL D. VANCE



DECLASSIFIED

Authority NND 66774

CONFIDENTIAL