

EO 3.3b(3)  
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

PL 86-36/50 USC 3605

~~TOP SECRET~~

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND

# CRYPTOLOG

DECEMBER 1977



	.....	.1
LETTER TO THE EDITOR.....		16

~~THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CODEWORD MATERIAL~~


~~TOP SECRET~~

Non - Responsive


~~Exempt from GDS, EO 11652, Category 2  
Declassify Upon Notification by the Originator~~

Declassified and Approved for Release by NSA on 05-11-2021 pursuant to E.O. 13526; MDR 109475

Non - Responsive




~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~



**G422**


t




~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~


~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~


EO 3.3b(3)  
PL 86-36/50 USC 3605



~~TOP SECRET UMBRA~~










Non - Responsive

Non - Responsive













Non - Responsive












Non - Responsive













~~SECRET SPOKE~~




To the Editor, CRYPTOLOG:  
I would like to take exception to some remarks made by [redacted] in the September issue [redacted] As a linguist/analyst/reporter who daily uses the [redacted] I believe that I am qualified to discuss the system.




Finally, [redacted] has made some interesting observations and useful suggestions in his article. But his views on the efficacy [redacted] were a bit misleading. I hope this brief overview helps to correct some misconceptions.


[redacted] B41


~~SECRET SPOKE~~






Non - Responsive






Non - Responsive



~~TOP SECRET~~



~~THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS CODEWORD MATERIAL~~

~~TOP SECRET~~