



~~ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

WHITE KEY JUDGMENTS  
SPECIAL WHITE KEY JUDGMENTS  
 Distribution

NIE 88-89 WKS  
 DATE 11/24

<u>COPY #</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>HOW DELIVERED</u>
1	President	with PDB, VIA COURIER
2	Vice President	VIA Briefer
3	Sec State	VIA Briefer
4	Sec Defense	VIA Briefer
5	CJCS	VIA Briefer
6	Nat'l Sec Advisor	with PDB, VIA COURIER
7	DCI	VIA Morning Briefing Book
8	DDCI	VIA Morning Briefing Book
9	ExDir	VIA Morning Briefing Book
10	DDO	leave with DDO/DO
11	DDA	VIA Morning Briefing Book
12	DDS&T	VIA Morning Briefing Book
13	DDI	VIA Morning Briefing Book
14	ADDI	VIA Morning Briefing Book
15-16	VC/NIC	Elivra deliver
17	D/CPAS	Helene L. Boatner

CPAS Registry

18 The Honorable Douglas P. Mulholland  
 Assistant Secretary of State for Intelligence and Research  
 Room 6531, Department of State

19 Lieutenant General Harry E. Soyster  
 Director, Defense Intelligence Agency  
 Room 3E258, Pentagon

~~ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

## ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY

<u>COPY #</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>
20	Vice Admiral William O. Studeman, US Navy Director, National Security Agency Room 9A197, Fort George G Meade, Maryland
21	Mr. James H. Geer Assistant Director, Intelligence Division Federal Bureau of Investigation Room 4026, J.E. Hoover Building
22	Mr. Robert J Walsh Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs Room 4A014, Forrestal Bldg., Department of Energy
23	Michael Romey, Acting Special Assistant to the Secretary (National Security) Room 2049, Main Treasury Building
24	The Honorable James F. McGovern Under Secretary of the Air Force Room 4E886, Pentagon
25	RADM Thomas A. Brooks; USN Director of Naval Intelligence Department of the Navy Room 5C600, Pentagon
26	Major Charles Eichelberger, USA Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence Department of the Army Room 2E464, Pentagon
27	Maj Gen C. Norman Wood, USAF Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence Department of the Air Force Room 4A932, Pentagon
28	Major John A. Studds, USMC Director of Intelligence, US Marine Corps Headquarters, US Marine Corps Room 2117, Navy Annex Washington, D.C. 20480

ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY

ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY

<u>COPY #</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>
29	Robert M. Gates Deputy Assistant National Security Advisor White House Situation Room
30	The Honorable Lawrence S. Eagleburger Deputy Secretary of State Room 7220, New State Bldg.
31	Mr. Robert M. Kimmitt Undersecretary of State for Political Affairs New State Bldg.
32	The Honorable Donald J. Atwood Deputy Secretary of Defense Room 3E944, The Pentagon
0 33	Ambassador Paul Wolfowitz Undersecretary of Defense for Policy Room 4E830, The Pentagon
34	The Honorable Henry S. Rowen Asst. Secretary of Defense for Internat'l Security Policy Room 4E838, The Pentagon
35	Stephen J. Hadley Assistant Secretary of Defense for Internat'l Security Policy Room 4E838, The Pentagon

36	Mark Sullivan Executive Secretary, NFIB
----	--

37	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 450px;"></div>
----	--

	Deputy Executive Secretary, NFIB
--	----------------------------------

	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 25px; width: 450px;"></div>
--	--

<u>COPY #</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>
---------------	------------------

38-40	<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 45px; width: 470px;"></div>
-------	--

41	
----	--

42-44	NIO (Action Officer)
-------	----------------------

STAT

STAT

STAT

ADMIN INTERNAL USE ONLY

**Page Denied**



# Colombia: Challenges to Democratic Government



25X1

National Intelligence Estimate

Key Judgments

*These Key Judgments represent the views of the Director of Central Intelligence with the advice and assistance of the US Intelligence Community.*

~~Secret~~

NIE 88-89W  
November 1989

Copy 53

***The following intelligence organizations participated in the preparation of these Key Judgments:***

The Central Intelligence Agency  
 The Defense Intelligence Agency  
 The National Security Agency  
 Bureau of Intelligence and Research,  
 Department of State

***also participating:***

The Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence,  
 Department of the Army  
 The Director of Naval Intelligence,  
 Department of the Navy  
 The Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence,  
 Department of the Air Force

***The National Foreign Intelligence Board concurs.***


***The full text of this Estimate is being published separately with regular distribution.***

---

**Warning Notice** Intelligence Sources  
 or Methods Involved  
 (WNINTEL)

**National Security Information** Unauthorized Disclosure  
 Subject to Criminal Sanctions

<b>Dissemination Control Abbreviations</b>	NOFORN (NF)	Not releasable to foreign nationals
	NOCONTRACT (NC)	Not releasable to contractors or contractor/consultants
	PROPIN (PR)	Caution—proprietary information involved
	ORCON (OC)	Dissemination and extraction of information controlled by originator
	REL... WN	This information has been authorized for release to... WNINTEL—Intelligence sources or methods involved



STAT

SECRET

[Redacted]

25X1

---

## Colombia: Challenges to Democratic Government

[Redacted]

25X1

- *Colombia faces severe challenges—but no country in Latin America possesses greater capabilities to combat them:*
  - *The economy will grow at 3 percent plus into 1991.*
  - *The armed forces' increasingly favorable force ratio and growing aggressiveness should allow some inroads against guerrilla forces.* [Redacted]
- *Drug-related violence—some possibly directed at US targets—will continue at high levels:*
  - *But President Barco is almost certain to stay the course, and his most probable successors are likely to support his tough antinarcotic and security policies.*
  - *Boosted US aid levels and US initiatives against narcotics problems at home will be important considerations for Barco's successor, however.* [Redacted]
- *Even if Colombia maintains its counterdrug effort over the next year, it will have only a passing effect on US drug supplies; a reduction in world demand is necessary to carve back the cocaine industry.* [Redacted]
- *There is a 30-percent chance that a confluence of misfortunes or negative trends in the economy and public fatigue with the antinarcotics campaign could spur Colombia toward accommodation with traffickers.* [Redacted]

25X1

25X1




25X1

25X1







SECRET

**Impressive Resources**



**Growing Challenges**



324296 11-89

25X1

Secret

## Key Judgments

---

Colombia today is a paradox. With one of the strongest economies in the region and one of the most enduring traditions of democratic government, it is beset by a level of political violence, guerrilla warfare, and drug-related corruption and murder that are fraying the fabric of constitutional government. No other country in Latin America faces more severe challenges—or possesses a greater capability to combat them.

The economy will continue to be a strength. We expect it to grow at about 3 percent plus through 1990 and into 1991. Colombia's clearly improved counterinsurgency capability is a second plus. Colombia's guerrilla groups, totaling about 9,000 combatants, will be able to sustain a serious level of violence, especially against economic targets. But they are unlikely to unify and are being hunted by both civilian paramilitary forces and a strengthened military. The government's increasingly favorable force ratio, its talk-fight strategy, and growing confidence and aggressiveness should allow it to manage the threat and make inroads into insurgent strength in some areas.

The greatest threat to the stability and integrity of the political system over the next two years will stem from the narcotrafficking groups. Compared with the guerrillas, traffickers will be better equipped, have superior intelligence networks, have greater influence in urban areas, and be more ruthless. Their threat extends beyond violence and intimidation—their rapidly increasing rural real estate holdings, for example, will be a major impediment to the government's land reform program.

Drug-related violence—including terrorism against the government, civilian and business sectors, and trafficker-financed rightwing assassinations of leftists—will be a potent destabilizing force. The overlap and interrelationships between trafficker organizations and local rightist paramilitary groups will sustain very high levels of political violence. This situation is likely to be exacerbated by the inclination of some military and police officials to condone and even support paramilitary violence against the left.

Taken together, these problems will challenge the effectiveness of Colombian democracy and the economic system, but not their viability. The drug lords will make more energetic attempts to manipulate and infiltrate the two major parties, but will be hindered by the conservatism of the system and popular rejection of trafficker violence. More dramatic violence against key government figures would probably serve to further galvanize support for harsh measures against the traffickers.

President Barco is almost certain to stay the course and his most probable successors in August 1990 are more likely to pursue his tough security policies than to reverse them. They also would maintain conservative probusiness programs that are key to investment and continued, if somewhat slowing, growth.

The residual strengths of the Colombian state make it a prospective strong partner for the United States in helping to combat the drug problem and to continue to anchor democratic rule in Latin America, but the magnitude of its problems will make it more reliant on foreign aid. Government tactical progress against the insurgents will begin to be undercut by the logistic strains of sustained armed forces participation in antidrug operations by mid-1990 unless offset by increases in external assistance. In short, if the military is to sustain both a counterinsurgency and antinarcotics effort at current levels or beyond, higher levels of external support will be necessary. In addition to boosted US aid levels, demonstrated US initiatives in the narcotics battle at home—stiffened penalties, actions to counter US drug money laundering, and efforts to reduce domestic drug consumption—will be important considerations for Barco's successor in choosing his drug policies.

Even then, the antidrug campaign is not likely to have a major impact on the US drug market. If Colombia maintains its present level of effort over the next year and beyond—and there is a good chance it will—it will have the potential to disrupt the Colombian trade by causing traffickers to reconfigure and relocate some operations, forcing cutbacks in processing and exports. Nevertheless, the drug industry is extremely resilient, and for the campaign to have much more than a passing effect on drug supplies in the United States over the next year or two would require efforts of similar magnitude in other Latin American countries. Lacking this, successes in Colombia paradoxically will prompt drug traffickers in other countries, including those outside the Andes, to take up the slack. Permanently reducing the size of the cocaine industry will require a reduction in worldwide demand.

One price of the antidrug campaign in Colombia for the United States will be the terrorist risk to US facilities and personnel. These are important, if secondary targets of insurgent and trafficker violence, and they will be in higher profile as new US assistance comes into play and if drug kingpins are extradited. Attacks on the Embassy and attempted kidnappings of senior US officials will be well within the range of options considered by the traffickers and, to a lesser extent, the insurgents. Strikes at other US targets in Latin America, Western Europe, and even inside the United States are within the narcotraffickers' capabilities.

While on balance we judge that Colombia can cope with the significant threats it confronts and stands a good chance of making progress in some areas, there is perhaps a 10-percent chance that a confluence of misfortunes—a large-scale offensive by insurgents aggravated by trafficker terrorism beyond even current levels and against the backdrop of an economic recession—could lead to a downward spiral of insecurity and violence. Regardless of the level of external support, such a situation would probably breed near chaotic conditions and exhaust Bogota's financial resources.

There is a somewhat greater chance, perhaps 20 percent, that less dramatic but persistent negative trends in the economy and public fatigue with the antinarcotics campaign would spur a successor government in 1990 toward greater accommodation with the traffickers. This could seriously strain relations between Washington and Bogota.



25X1

**Page Denied**